

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
HOUSTON DIVISION**

**NACHAIYA KAMA**

**Plaintiff,**

**vs.**

**TIRR MEMORIAL HERMANN,**

**Defendant.**

§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§

**C.A. No. 4:19-cv-04682**

**(JURY TRIAL DEMANDED)**

---

**MATHEMATICAL RESPONSE: Dkt. No. 56**

---

TO THE HONORABLE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT:

Comes now, Nachaiya Kama, Plaintiff, in the above-captioned cause, Mathematically response, solves for X in the equation and other equations as follows in response to Dkt. No. 56 intentionally filed on the late Dr. King Jr. day with incomplete intentional documents attached.

① Dkt. No. 58!  
Dkt. No. 56

$$\frac{\text{Dkt. No. 58} \times \text{Dkt. No. 54} \times \text{Dkt. No. 52}}{\text{Dkt. No. 56}} = \text{Dkt. No. 15}$$

$\therefore \text{Dkt. No. 15} = \text{Exhibit A.}$

②  $\sqrt{\text{Dkt. No. 58}} = x$

$$x - \text{Dkt. No. 54} - \text{Dkt. No. 15} = 0$$

$$\therefore x = \text{Dkt. No. 54} + \text{Dkt. No. 15}$$

$$x = \text{Dkt. No. 52.}$$

Furthermore:

$$\textcircled{3} \sqrt{\text{Dkt. No. 58}} = \text{Dkt. No. 52} \times \text{Dkt. No. 54} = \text{Dkt. No. 15.}$$

And,

$$\frac{\text{Dkt. No. 58} \times \text{Dkt. No. 49}}{\text{Dkt. No. 56}} \times \frac{\cancel{\text{Dkt. No. 51}} \times \cancel{\text{Dkt. No. 53}}}{1} =$$

$$\text{Dkt. No. 58} \times \text{Dkt. No. 49} = \text{Dkt. No. 15,}$$

$$\therefore \text{Dkt. No. 15} = \underline{\underline{\text{Exhibit A.}}}$$

Respectfully Submitted,

Date: Jan. 25, 2021

  
Plaintiff Kama. (Pro Se)